में "यू० एस० एस० आर० नोट कीन आन टिहरी प्रोजेक्ट" शीर्षक के अंतर्गत प्रकाशित समाचार की ओर दिलाया गया है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो क्या यह सच है कि विशेषज्ञों ने भी हाल ही में यह राय दी है कि टिहरी बांध परियोजना के डिजाइन को बदलना आवश्यक है ;

(ग) यदि हां, तो उसका ब्यौरा क्या है ; और

(घ) उस पर सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है ?

ऊर्जा मंत्रालय में विद्युत विभाग में राज्य मंत्री (श्री कल्पनाथ राय) : (क) जी, हां ।

(ख) जी, नहीं ।

(ग) और (घ) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

Foreign collaborations for consumer industries

3016. SHRI YASHWANT SINHA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of industries which Government put in the category of consumer industries;

(b) how many foreign collaboration agreements have been sanctioned in these industries during the period from 1st April, 1985, till date; and

(c) in how many such deals foreign participation in equity, has also been permitted?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) The consumer goods industries cover a wide range of items both in the durable and non-durable sector. There is no specific list of industries maintained by the Government under this category for the purposes of foreign collaboration.

Government has approved 1024, 957 and 853 proposals for foreign collaboration during the years 1985, 1986 and 1987 respectively. Out of these 238 240 and 242 proposals involved equity participation by foreign companies in the years 1985, 1986 and 1987 respectively. The details of approved foreign collaborations showing the names of Indian and foreign firms, item of manufacture and nature of foreign collaboration are published on a monthly basis by the Indian Investment Centre as a supplement to its monthly news letter. Copies of this publication are sent regularly to Parliament Library.

Application of M|s. Garware Limited for grant of licence to manufacture polyester based X-ray film and Graphic Arts-film

3017. SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:
SHRI T. R. BALU:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1440 given in the Rajya Sabha on 21st November, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that an expert committee was constituted in 1986 to study the claim of M|s. Garware that they had developed indigenous technology for the manufacture of polyester based X-ray film and Graphic Art film;

(b) if so, what were the terms of reference and who were the members of the Committee;

(c) what were the objections raised by the Hindustan Photo Films Limited against the findings of the Committee and whether Government have examined the committee's report in the light of Hindustan Photo Films objection, if so, what are the details thereof; and

(d) whether it is a fact that the application of M|s. Garware was rejected a number of times and also this year?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b) Proposals for setting up Industrial Units are examined by Committees such as

Technical Evaluation Committee. Where necessary, Government also takes into account advice of official experts on the subject as an internal exercise.

(c) According to Hindustan Photo Films the technology of M|s Garware has not been demonstrated. They have also objected on the ground that this will lead to industrial sickness and unhealthy competition.

(d) The application of M|s Garware was rejected during the years 1983 and 1985.

Royalty for foreign companies

@3017-A. SHRI RAM AWADHESH SINGH: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have decided not to extend royalty period by Indian Companies with foreign companies, as reported in the Business standard of the 3rd October, 1988;

(b) what are the products and firms—Indian and foreign—under the present royalty arrangements;

(c) whether it is a fact that Tetrapack had extended a royalty arrangement with an Indian Government organisation in 1979 or thereafter and if so, whether royalty continues to be paid under different pretexts till date; and

(d) whether Government propose to inquire into and fix responsibility in this fraud?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir.

(b) For reasons of confidentiality, such information is not officially divulged.

(c) and (d) Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

@Previously Unstarred Question 2457 transferred from the 1st December, 1988.

Raising of loan limit for house building in public undertaking.

@@3017-B. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that due to biased approach of certain public sector undertakings on Central Government pay pattern, the house building loan limit and liberalised conditions in payments of the same have so far not been modified there as per the prevailing limits and practices applicable in case of Government employees;

(b) if so, the details of such undertakings which have so far not amended/liberalised their house building Rules|conditions and raised the loan limit upto Rs. 2.50 lakhs besides facilitating the liberalised Second Mortgage provisions on pari-passue arrangements with the Lending Financial Banking Institutions as per Government practices; and

(c) whether Government have issued| propose to issue the specific directives in case of undertakings stated in (a) above to amend|liberalise their respective loan schemes|Rules as per the practices followed in other public sector Corporations/Government Departments, pending their wage revision by Government's High Power Pay Committee, to benefit the individuals as also boosting the House Building Activities in Delhi as per the recent Government policy in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (c) Under directions of the Supreme Court, Government constituted a High Power Pay Committee to look into the question of revisions of scales of pay and allowances. The Committee has submitted its final Report to the Government on 24-11-88. The recommendations of the Committee are being examined and appropriate decisions would be taken by the Government at the earliest.

@Previously Unstarred Question 2741, transferred from the 2nd December, 1988.